00389

1975/11/07



OP IMMED VZCZCWTE135 DO MIE DE WYE38 #0135 3110945 0 074940Z NOV 75 FH USLD PEKING

TO THE WHITE HOUSE

· S E C R E T SENSTTIVE/HANDLE VIA VOYAGER CHANNELS

PEKING 135 M7 NOVEMBER 1975 SECRETARY KISSINGER FOR :

FROM : GEORGE BUSH

THE PRESIDENT'S TRIP: COMMUNIQUE

THE CHINESE SEEM TO BE STICKING TO THEIR LINE THAT THEY WOULD BE SATISFIED TO HAVE NO COMMUNIQUE AT ALL FOLLOWING THE RECALLING THE DISCUSSION YOU AND I HAD PRESIDENT'S VISIT. ON THIS MATTER EARLIFR, WE HAVE RESEARCHED THE PRECEDENTS ON COMMUNIQUES ISSUED IN PEKING THIS YEAR. WE COUNT SIXTEEN VISITORS TO THE PRC SO FAR THIS YEAR WHO HAVE BEEN ACCORDED HEAD OF STATE/GOVERNMENT PROTOCOL TREATMENT BY THE CHINESE. OF THESE, THE MAJORITY (NINE) DID NOT HAVE COMMUNIQUES. THEY INCLUDED SCHMIDT (FRG), LE DUAN (DRV), TINDEMANS (BELGIUM), AND SIX OTHERS. OF THE SEVEN WHO DID HAVE COMMUNIQUES, TWO==MARCOS AND KHUKPIT==DISCUSSED UNLY THE ESTAPLISHMENT OF DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS. TWO OTHERS WARE CLEARLY SUBSTANTIVE--KIM (KOREA) AND SAMPHAN (CAMPODIA). THE REMAINING THREE--ALL CALLED *PRESS COMMUNIQUES == INCLUDED TWO (YUGOSLAVIA AND TRINIDAD/TOBAGO) WHICH WERF TEN AND NINE PARAS LONG BUT DEVOID OF SUBSTANCE EXCEPT FOR SHORT BILATERAL SECTIONS, AND DNF (GUYANA) CONTAINING CONSIDERABLE DISCUSSION OF THE WORLD SCENE.

THE PRECEDENTS ARE THUS CONFUSED, BUT A SUBSTANTIVE JUINT COMMUNIQUE IS CLEARLY NOT AN UBLIGATORY PART OF A PRC VISIT. AT THE SAME TIME, THERE HAVE BEEN ENDUGH COMMUNIQUES TO GIVE THE PHESS SUFFICIENT AMMUNITION TO CLAIM THAT THE LACK OF ONE MEANS NO AGREEMENT ON ISSUES.

AT THIS POINT, I DON'T SEE ANY PROFIT IN FURTHER BEATING THE DEAD HORSE OF A SUBSTANTIVE COMMUNIQUE. I BELIEVE THAT THE PRESIDENT CAN GET BY AT HOME WITH NO COMMUNIQUE OR A NONSHBSTANTIVE ONE AS LONG AS THE TOASTS AND PRESS BACKGROUNDING ARE CAREFULLY HANDLED. WARM REGARUS.

+ + + + WHSR COMMENT +

SCONCROFT, MCFARLANE, RODMAN

PECALLED PAGE 01

TOR:311/10:04Z OTG1070940Z NOV 75

SFCRET ****** COPY

Alak

PSN: 409557